

# THE GREAT LIAR

## Introduction

I thought that you might like to see an example of the battles I face defending both Scripture and geocentricity. I have often reported that the most vehement opposition comes not from atheistic scientists but from professing Bible believers. That such is the case is not surprising, after all, the devils believe, too, and they tremble (James 2:19). Scripture calls such people *froward*. Please pray for me, especially that I may use more Scripture in my technical replies.

The exchange presented here is longer than average, and it covers a lot of territory. There is a verse in the Psalms that says, “The wicked are estranged from the womb: they go astray as soon as they be born, speaking lies.” (Psalm 58:3.) According to that verse, lying, to the wicked, is as natural as breathing. More: to the wicked lying is a necessity of life.

I am reminded of the debates I had with Marxists, Socialists, Liberals, and Communists in the 1960s and 70s, whose mantra was that the ends justify the means, even if it means you have to cheat, steal, lie, and kill to bring in the kingdom of the great Satanist, Karl Marx.<sup>1</sup> When they uttered such a lie, I would say, “You know that’s a lie.” Depending on the degree of the Communist’s commitment to the Communist religion, I would be greeted either by sheepishness or by anger. I would then ask, how do you know that the thing that convinced you of Communism wasn’t a lie? The response was, “It does not matter.” The ends justify the means.

Marxists use a technique called the *Hegelian Dialect* to argue. The technique has absolutely nothing to do with the truth. It has everything to do with consensus building through terror and intimidation, and twisted logic.

For an example of Hegelian dialect, consider: if my idea of freedom (the thesis) conflicts with your idea of freedom (the antithesis), then neither of us can be free until everyone agrees to be a slave (the synthesis or “whole,” as Hegel called it). So slavery is freedom in Hegel’s twisted logic.

You will note that the heliocentrist antagonist in the correspondence uses Hegel’s techniques to win his arguments among his disciples. Lying is as natural to that man as breathing.

---

<sup>1</sup> Wurmbrand, Richard, 1976. *Was Karl Marx a Satanist?* (Glendale, CA: Diane Books Publ. Co.).

## **The Correspondence**

That the ends justify the means is also used against the preservation of Scripture, creationism, and geocentricity. I thought you might like to see it at work in detail. Thus the following dialogue is with someone whom I shall not name but since he esteems himself to be "the Great Shepherd," I shall call him "the Great Liar." Where necessary in the exchange of emails I shall insert a commentary. The self-professed "Great Shepherd's" words and style will be preserved throughout, without change lest I be accused of misrepresentation. The exchange took place in early 2009.

### ***The Great Liar:***

Promoters of the "Fixed Earth" write that Geostationary Satellites are "PARKED in a STATIONARY position 22,300 miles (35,900 km) above the equator of the STATIONARY earth". (Niall Kilkenny). I have emphasised the word "STATIONARY"

I would be grateful Dr Bouw if you would kindly explain how you reconcile "The Fixed Earth" promoters assertion that the Geostationary Satellites are "STATIONARY", with NASA's and other statements that the Geostationary Satellites are NOT "STATIONARY" but that they "Travel at the same direction and speed as Earth revolves" (Extract from The Internet Encyclopedia of Science) at:

[http://www.daviddarling.info/encyclopedia/G/geostationary\\_orbit.html](http://www.daviddarling.info/encyclopedia/G/geostationary_orbit.html)

Are the people at NASA and The Internet Encyclopedia of Science lying? I await your kind early reply

### **Commentary:**

The challenge of the geostationary satellite against geocentricity is common enough. The second question, who is lying? is a bit strange but is typical from visitors coming from Marshall Hall's or Neville Jones' web sites. Both men claim that modern scientists deliberately and systematically deceive the public. That is simply not true. There are deceivers but deceivers work more subtly. For instance, Galileo ran into trouble with the Roman Church because he insisted that the Church recognize the Copernican theory as a proven fact. Galileo had every reason to know that he was insisting that the Catholic Church accept a lie. Until long after Galileo's death, all the astronomical evidence supported the Tycho Brahe's geocentric theory, not the heliocentric theory

of Copernicus. Thus, when the Roman Catholic church reprimanded Galileo for his impertinence, she was correct. Likewise, Copernicus knew his theory is blasphemous heresy against Scripture. That is why he hesitated to publish it until he knew his death was imminent. To justify his heresy, Copernicus lied when he challenged the Bible's authority over science with the claim that the heliocentric model is much more *worthy* of God than the Scriptural view. Liars deal with half-truths and appeals to supposed experts to intimidate the mark; liars do not deal with facts and evidence.

Einstein lied when he insisted his theory was the only one to explain certain phenomena, such as the Michelson-Morley experiment and the perihelion precession of Mercury. There were many other theories that explained these phenomena equally well or better. Hence, I crafted this reply:

***Bouw:***

The geostationary satellites are stationary relative to the surface of the earth but they are not stationary relative to the stars. There is no conspiracy; it's a matter of one's point of view. Whether the earth rotates on its axis once every 24 hours or the fabric of space, which I call the firmament, rotates in the opposite direction makes no difference according to General Relativity. The differences between the geocentric model and the modern acentric one are:

1. The geocentric model cannot ignore the existence of the universe; the heliocentric model assumes that the universe can be ignored.
2. The heliocentric definition of force is  $F=ma$  and then adds the "fictitious forces" of Centrifugal and Coriolis "effects." The geocentrically-derived statement of force is  $F=ma + \text{centrifugal force} + \text{Coriolis force} + \text{Euler force} + \text{some additional terms including a quantum term}$ . In geocentricity, the "fictitious forces" are real, gravitational forces, commonly called "inertia." The Euler force deals with rotation, including the binding of a 24-hour rotation of the universe about the earth.

Thus both theories account for ALL the evidence and neither can be proved nor disproved. The advantages of the geocentric model are that it is comprehensive to start with, has cosmic inertia built in, and avoids the cosmological difficulties associated with parallel universes. The advantages of the heliocentric model is

that it is a quick-and-dirty model, good to second order which is pretty much all we need for computational accuracy and because its definition of force is *ad hoc*, you can pick and choose whatever terms you like without having to account for the others unless an experimental result demands otherwise.

### ***The Great Liar:***

I thank you for your quick response, but you did not answer my straight forward non-technical question which I repeat, once more: Are the people at NASA and The Internet Encyclopedia of Science lying when they state that "Geostationary Satellites are NOT "STATIONARY" but that they "Travel at the same direction and speed as Earth revolves" (Extract from The Internet Encyclopedia of Science)?

May I again respectfully point out that the answer I am expecting to receive from you is either (1) Yes! they are lying or (2) No! they are not lying. I accept the definition of "lying" as "the deliberate act of deviating from the truth"

### **Commentary:**

Note the Great Liar's self-avowed definition of lying, "the deliberate act of deviating from the truth." It will come into play later on.

The Great Liar ignores my answer to the first—the technical—question and transfers the adjective, "technical," to his second question which is not at all technical but asks only for an opinion. At this point it is clear that the Great Liar is not asking for my opinion, or for information; his mind is closed. The Great Liar has no response to my answer to his first question and is too lazy to argue it, so he set up a two-choice Hegelian thesis-antithesis-synthesis trap to a matter that has four possible choices, not two, *viz.* 1) yes, 2) no, 3) the geocentrists are deceived, and 4) the heliocentrists are deceived. His hope is that the mark, (yours truly), is not wise to his game. By allowing only choices 1) and 2), as thesis and antithesis, the synthesis is that I am the liar, no matter which of the two I chose. If I chose 3) or 4) I will be accused of either dodging the question or of lying. Clearly 3) and 4) exonerates people from deliberately lying, albeit they unknowingly propagate a lie. For possibilities 3) and 4), if I, as an ignorant promoter of the geocentric model, or the Great Liar, as an ignorant promoter of the heliocentric position should be convinced otherwise yet persist in promoting the false idea, that person is a liar. We see then that by allowing all four options I cannot help but include the truth while the Great Liar's insistence that 3) and 4) are not allowed brands him as a deceiver, regard-

less of his position. People like the Great Liar hate truth with a passion. The result is perfectly predictable. I will be branded a liar and, if I try to reason, my Christian integrity will be challenged. That is the nature of Hegelian dialectics. So, let us bite.

***Bouw:***

I'm sorry; I thought you would understand that when I said, "There is no conspiracy" that it meant "No! they are not lying."

***The Great Liar:***

Dr Bouw Thank you for your plain reply that "the people at NASA and The Internet Encyclopedia of Science" are "not lying" (Dr Bouw) when they say that "Earth revolves" (Internet Encyclopedia of Science). Then it follows that 'Fixed Earth' promoters such as you are lying because you say that "the earth does not revolve" isn't that so?

***Commentary:***

There it is. Options 3) and 4) do not exist. Note the words he added in quotes, as if I had written them. I wrote, "No! they are not lying." There is nothing wrong with the Great Liar's adding "the people at NASA and The Internet Encyclopedia of Science" except that when he puts them in quotation marks, he puts them into my mouth. Notice, too, that when the Great Liar writes, "Then it follows that 'Fixed Earth' promoters such as you are lying because you say that 'the earth does not revolve' isn't that so?" he 1) conveniently forgets his dictionary definition of lying as "the *deliberate act* of deviating from the truth" (emphasis added) which I quote in his first response, and 2) he changes the subject. In his first email he asked about the relative rotation of earth and cosmos, not the revolution of the earth about the sun. Although I agree that the earth does not revolve, there is no phrase, "the earth does not revolve," in any of my emails to the Great Liar. Again, this is an abuse of quotation marks, putting words into my mouth by pretending that they were part of our correspondence. Or else the Great Liar is ignorant of the difference between rotation and revolution, in which case he is in no position to judge so technical a matter as geocentricity.

My reply rubs his nose in his own definition of lying and must precipitate an emotional, off-the-subject, unreasoned reply:

***Bouw:***

Sorry, in good faith I was using your definition of lying, which was “the deliberate act of deviating from the truth.” The encyclopedias, elementary texts, and most of the people at NASA (with some exceptions) parrot the party line in ignorance, which is not lying unless they also claim to have done their homework, at which point they are lying, at least about having done their homework. So it does not follow that I am lying.

***The Great Liar:***

Dr Bouw You neither believe "the people at NASA and The Internet Encyclopedia of Science" and impugn their integrity and even claim to being superior to them and contradict yourself over and over again in your emails to me. But MORE SERIOUSLY you Dr Bouw also "LIE" (Revelation 22:15<sup>2</sup>) because you have no qualms about dishonouring the Creator and despise and trample on and corrupt His blessed words as recorded in The Jewish Tanakh, such as those written in Ecclesiastes 1:5:<sup>3</sup> "The sun also appears (Hebrew: zarach) and the sun fades (Hebrew: ba'a) and and desires (Hebrew: sha'aph) its place where it appears" (Hebrew Tanakh. Ecclesiastes 1:5) I will be publishing on the Internet the substance of our exchanges concerning the deceptions of the UNREPENTANT Dr Gerard Bouw et al.

***Commentary:***

Apparently, the NASA and the *Internet Encyclopedia of Science* writers know more astronomy than do I, although most such authors are technical writers who were journalism majors in college, not science majors. This is especially true of authors of introductory texts which rarely know the text's subject matter to any depth.

I am said to contradict myself “over and over again in my emails” but the Great Liar cannot give a single example. I lie because I dishonor the Creator? That is an interesting choice of words. The Great Liar can dishonor the Creator by lying, but he can also dishonor God by being a poor testimony, without lying. It does not follow that dishonoring God makes one a liar. So you see, dear reader, that the practitioners of Hegelian dialectics cannot reason.

---

<sup>2</sup> Revelation 22:15— For without [New Jerusalem] are dogs, and sorcerers, and whore-mongers, and murderers, and idolaters, and whosoever loveth and maketh a lie.

<sup>3</sup> Ecclesiastes 1:5— The sun also ariseth, and the sun goeth down, and hasteth to his place where he arose.

To intimidate me, the Great Liar throws in Revelation 22:15 which is only effective on those who knowingly lie. Since the Great Liar rejected the possibility that heliocentric or geocentric apologists could be deceived in good faith, he proves himself a liar and condemns himself to the fate of Revelation 22:15.

I “despise and trample on and corrupt His blessed words as recorded in The Jewish Tanakh,” (sic) says the Great Liar. More than thirty years ago I learned that anytime someone retreats to the “original” language that he is about to reveal to everyone something that everyone else has missed since the Holy Ghost first inspired the words. As was the case every time over the last thirty years, the Great Liar’s use of the “originals” is no exception. This time it is a newly-discovered version of Ecclesiastes 1:5. The Great Liar’s version is:

The sun also appears and the sun fades and desires its place where it appears.

Everyone else is wrong, in the Great Liar’s opinion, when translating the verse as it reads in the KJV:

The sun also ariseth, and the sun goeth down, and hasteth to his place where he arose.

Now consider the Great Liar’s version. “The sun also appears,” could well be to the observer, but the consensus is that the Hebrew has the sense of rising, as appears from its use including the reference to a “rising” in leprosy. On the other hand, the sun does not “fade,” when it sets. The sun’s “fading” demands that it gets intrinsically fainter, not that it is dimmed by the thickness of the atmosphere through which we see it. The underlying Hebrew word has the sense of going or coming, not a dimming.

And then we see in the Great Liar’s version that the sun desires its place where it appears. How does the sun “desire” anything unless it is as a type for Jesus Christ? If the sun is a type of Christ, then he “desires *his* place where *he* appears, but the Great Liar removes the Christology of the passage which gender is preserved in the KJV. The Great Liar’s version of Ecclesiastes 1:5 is bad translating as well as bad theology.

The Great Liar, in the section where he called me, I repeat, *he called me* “UNREPENTANT,” attached a web link, but the link no longer exists so there is little sense in reproducing it.

***Bouw:***

Thanks for such a great compliment. You have no idea what a blessing that is. (Luke 6:22.<sup>4</sup>)

***The Great Liar:***

Shalom fellow disciples of Yeshuwa

As the earth daily rotates on its axis west to east the sun "appears" to us in the morning and "fades" in the evening exactly as is written in Ecclesiastes 1:5 and other verses in the Jewish Tanakh (which christians despise):

"The sun also appears (Hebrew: zarach) and the sun fades (Hebrew: ba'a) and and desires (Hebrew: sha'aph) its place where it appears" (Ecclesiastes 1:5 Hebrew Tanakh)

I appreciate the agony which Patrick Geaney experienced as he was trapped for awhile (just as I was) in the christian corruption in their version of Ecclesiastes 1:5. My brother George and me and our friends agonized for many a long night over the last few years on this very subject. As Patrick discovered it was the "christian corruptions" which has caused such division and heart ache.

I devoted myself over the past few weeks challenging those who say that the earth does not rotate on its axis every 24 hours. The sheer scale of their evasive and contradictory replies is mind-boggling. One of them even wrote that "the people at NASA are not lying" when they assure us that "the earth daily rotates" but then contradicts himself by writing that his own theory "is true"!!!!. And this person claims to have a university degree on the subject!!!!. Most just simply ignored or side-stepped my questions or adopt the typically christian approach of asking me another question!!!

They all of course fall back on their false rendering of the words of Ecclesiastes 1:5 etc.

Truly christian teachers have corrupted the holy scriptures in order to "make a lie" (Revelation 22:15)

You have my permission to post this on your Guest Book.

**Commentary**

The Great Liar pretty much condemns himself here. He lies about Christians despising the Tenach (Old Testament) and it is clear from

---

<sup>4</sup> Luke 6:22—Blessed are ye, when men shall hate you, and when they shall separate you from their company, and shall reproach you, and cast out your name as evil, for the Son of man's sake.

the above emails that his fourth paragraph not only takes what I wrote out of context but also adds words to what I wrote. Furthermore, his “Christian corruptions” fails to include the geocentric Jews who also believe the “Christian” interpretation. It is clear that the Great Liar views himself as a true Jew and that those Jews that do not adhere to the Tenach, as Karaites (modern Sadducees) do, for example, he calls “Christians.”

I took the opportunity to reply to what I thought was the post:

***Bow:***

Thank you for graciously providing me with this opportunity to expand a bit on the matter of geocentricity. You asked me two questions, the first was how I account for the geostationary satellites and the second whether the authors of certain heliocentric statements lied or not. I answered both but you based your conclusion only on my response to the latter question, ignoring my response to the former question. So I truly appreciate it that I have this opportunity. I have attached some documentation by heliocentrist physicists and astrophysicists addressing your first question and expounding the viability of the geocentric universe.

Now you probably forgot that you asked me nothing about Scripture, be it Torah, Tenach, or New Testament but as you bring it up here, please suffer me to respond to this new material:

I trust you are not saying that in the final analysis (truth being absolute when it comes to God’s words and considering that if God ever told a lie the power of his word is such that it would immediately come to pass), God lied in Joshua 10:13 when He wrote, “So the sun stood still and the moon stayed...”?

Or how about Isaiah 38:8, where God wrote that the sun—not just the shadow on the dial—returned ten degrees?

And does your Yeshuwa not speak the truth when Jesus says that the Father “**maketh his sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust**” in Matthew 5:45? Or, if, as you claim, we move towards the sun carried along by the earth’s rotation in the first part of the sentence, does the earth also rotate and carry us to meet the rain in the clause of the verse?

Finally, Genesis 19:23 says, “The sun was risen upon the earth when Lot entered into Zoar.” Not literal, right? Now Mark 16:9 says, “Now when Jesus was risen early the first day of the week, he appeared first to Mary Magdalene, out of whom he had cast seven devils.” Also not literal, right? After all, rising from the dead is impossible, isn’t it. That’s what science teaches. To modern science, resurrection from

death is even more impossible than geocentricity (see attachment).<sup>5</sup>

I have a friend, a geocentrist, who is Jewish. He is a direct descendent of Aaron, of the priestly class, and a leader among the sons of Aaron. He disagrees with your analysis of Ecclesiastes 1:5. Are you telling me you know Hebrew better than that man who learned Ecclesiastical Hebrew before English does? (He knows Ecclesiastical English, also.)

Anyhow, thanks again for the gracious offer to respond.

Your unrepentant geocentrist,  
Gerardus D. Bouw, B.S. (Astrophysics), M.S., Ph.D. (Astronomy)  
<http://www.geocentricity.com>

### **Commentary:**

I thought that might be about the end of the exchange. The above was supposed to be posted on the Internet. He might or might not reply to my critique of this post and forward it to me.

At this point in the exchange of emails, I received the following email from Malcolm Bowden who was one of the other three recipients party to the email exchange. (I was the second of the three and the third I do not know so will not name him.)

### ***Malcolm Bowden:***

I also had correspondence with [the Great Liar] but broke it off. He is eccentric to say the least. We started OK with what seemed a genuine query, but eventually he classed me with evildoers etc. at the end of Revelation.

In my last email I said –

3. The [geostationary] sat[elite] IS traveling at high speed RELATIVE TO THE ROTATING AETHER which is going at the same speed in the opposite direction.

He said he would quote “The satellite IS traveling at high speed” on the internet unless I repented within 7 days!!! He deliberately omitted the capitals in the sentence.

Be very wary of him. He will misquote you.

---

<sup>5</sup> The attachment was a list of secular references allowing the geocentric model as well as several quotes directly allowing the validity of the geocentric model.

### Commentary (Continued):

The Great Liar replied to my "thank you" email with what appeared to be the final post for his Internet site. The Great Liar did not respond to the Jewish priest's interpretation of Ecclesiastes 1:5. Nor did he respond to the resurrection paragraph of Genesis 19:23 with Mark 16:9. He twisted the Joshua 10:13 and dismissed Isaiah 38:8 without comment. The text that eventually settled on the Great Liar's cultic site is as follows; again, except for replacing double spaces by indented paragraphs, all errors and idiosyncrasies are saved in copying. Again, this has the form of a web page and so repeats material that appears earlier in the exchange.

### *The Great Liar:*

Shalom fellow disciples of Yeshuwa

As the Earth daily rotates on its axis west to east the sun "**ap-  
pears**" in the morning and "**fades**" in the evening exactly as is written in Ecclesiastes 1:5 and other verses in the Jewish Tanakh (which christians despise):

**"The sun also appears (Hebrew: zarach) and the sun fades (Hebrew: baw) and desires (Hebrew: sha'aph) its place where it appears"(Ecclesiastes 1:5 Hebrew Tanakh)**

I appreciate the agony which Patrick Geaney experienced as he was trapped for awhile (just as I was) in the christian corruption in their version of Ecclesiastes 1:5. My brother George and me and our friends agonised for many a long night over the last few years on this very subject. As Patrick discovered it was the "christian corruptions" which has caused such division and heart ache.

I devoted myself over the past few weeks challenging those who say that the Earth does not rotate on its axis every 24 hours. The sheer scale of their evasive and contradictory replies is mind-boggling.

NASA Scientists and other Astronomers inform us that "the earth rotates daily on its axis from west to east" and that "Geostationary Satellites orbit the earth; also from west to east; at approx 7,000 mph matching earth's rotation speed".

ALL of the Geocentrists "**loveth and maketh a lie**" (Revelation 22:15) that both the Earth and Geostationary Satellites are "STATIONARY".

However one of the Geocentrist promoters 'tripped himself up' by admitting in writing to me that "The sat IS travelling at high speed" [Statement of MB Date: Fri, 16 Jan 2009 17:24:19 -0000]. Another one of them admitted that "the people at NASA are not lying"

[Statement of GB Date: Thu, 22 Jan 2009 16:13:43 -0500 (EST)] when they assure us that "the earth daily rotates" but then contradicts himself by writing that his own theory "is true"!!!!. This particular person; who describes himself as an "unrepentant geocentrist" and boasting the qualifications "B.S. (Astrophysics), M.S., Ph.D.. (Astronomy)" also further confirmed his hatred for the words spoken by Yeshuwa' Mashi-yach as recorded in **Mattityahu 5:45**. I am pleased to read that you already have the correct translation from the Hebrew of **Mattityahu 5:45** in your web site.:

**"in order that you might be sons of your Father Who is in heaven Who causes His sun to shine on the good and evil and causes it to rain on the bad and the just" (Mattityahu 5:45)**

The above mentioned Pauline appointed christian "teachers" as expected quote from the christian corruption of Matthew 5:45 *"maketh his sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust" (KJV corruption)*. In the interests of his lying christian geocentric doctrine GB and his fellow travellers CHANGED the word "**shine**" to instead read "rise". In addition he mocks and dishonours our Creator by questioning "God lied in Joshua 10:13 when He wrote, "So the sun stood still and the moon stayed..."?. Our Creator (who is not the christian idol); as disciples of Yeshuwa acknowledge; exercised His mighty power by clearly slowing down the daily rotation of the earth on its axis to accomodate His servant (Yehoshua 10:13). The Mighty One of Yisrael worked a similar miracle as is recorded in Isaiah 38:8.

Most christian 'geocentrists' I contacted just simply tried to 'blind me with their scientific knowledge' or ignored or side-stepped my questions or adopted the typically christian approach of asking me another question!!!

They all of course fall back on their false rendering of the words of **Ecclesiastes 1:5** etc.

Truly christian teachers have corrupted the holy scriptures in order to "**make a lie**" (**Revelation 22:15**)

### **Commentary:**

You can compare the claims with the included emails and determine for yourself who is the liar, dear reader. As I brought up verses, he "retranslated" them from "Hebrew," (even the "Hebrew" of the New Testament!) to conform Scripture to his personal bias.

Note that I stand accused as the one who changed the word "shine" in Matthew 5:45 to "rise." I wasn't aware that I forced the

King James Version's translating committee to adopt my personal opinion. Wow! Maybe in the future I board a time machine and... .

Paul's gospel of grace is anathema to the Great Liar. His web site is most vehement against Paul, more so than any other author of Scripture. Of course, the Great Liar opposes Jesus, too. The Great Liar refers to Jesus as "the christian idol." Lest you think I exaggerate, consider the home page of the Great Liar's web site. We find there a set of instructions guiding anyone wanting to convert to the worship of the Great Liar's Yahweh. One of the early steps reads as follows:

Repent By FIRST publicly REJECTING "the idol elohiym of the nations" such as 'the trinity'; 'triunity'; 'oneness (sabellianism)'; buddha; shiva; jesus; jesus christ; christ jesus; allah; evolution; heliocentrism; etc: (all sic.)

Yes, the web page that tells you that if you want to worship Yahweh you must not only reject Jesus but also *reject heliocentrism*. If I am a liar because I attack heliocentrism, then what is the Great Liar when he, in his own web site, attacks heliocentrism as anathema to the worship of his god, Yahweh?<sup>6</sup>

Consider the Hegelian dialect of this situation. The Great Liar's thesis is heliocentrism and his antithesis is geocentricity. On the main page of his site, it is reversed; there his thesis is geocentricity and his antithesis is heliocentrism. So what is the Great Liar's synthesis? The same as it always is in any Hegelian dialectical argument: *egocentricity*. The synthesis is always what the thesis-advocate says it is, that is, "What I say it is." Is it any wonder that the Great Liar is seduced by a satanic dialect?

As the Lord inspired David to say in Psalm 58:3, "The wicked are estranged from the womb: they go astray as soon as they be born, speaking lies." We can certainly see that at work in the Great Liar.

---

## Headline Bloopers

Man Kills Self Before Shooting Wife and Daughter

Something Went Wrong in Jet Crash, Expert Says

Police Begin Campaign to Run Down Jaywalkers

---

<sup>6</sup> The quotes are from Liar's web site were current on 14 October 2009.