

Evolutionism: Its Inherent Racism Censored

F & M Kramer

Evolution theory is inherently racist, but that has been carefully hushed up.

One has only to look at the central concept of evolution theory as it relates to the different races and varieties of human beings and the racist basis of the theory will be clear.

Evolution theory holds that modern man is the highest product of hundreds of millions of slow evolutionary changes which started with a single-celled life-form. This tiny life-form then gradually became more and more complex, more and more highly developed, as the eons kept grinding along.

The theory postulates (and most of the world now believes) this accidentally-produced infinitesimal life-form gradually changed to become every plant and animal that has ever existed, including man. Never mind how life could have come from non-life – that's a whole separate other adult fairytale.

The very heart of the evolution theory [myth that it is] is the concept of progression – of upward change – from the lower to the higher, from the weaker to the stronger, from the less perfect to the more perfect, from the more inferior to the more superior.

This is all plainly stated in evolutionist books from Darwin to the present.

Evolution theory alleges that man progressed through an ape-stage before he finally became modern man. In other words, ape-like man, an inferior, upward evolving creature, finally became fully man (*homo sapiens*), a creature superior to his less evolved ancestors.

It is common knowledge that there are major racial distinctions that exist in the world, namely the yellow race (Mongoloid), the white race (Caucasoid), and the black race (Negroid), and there is a wide range of varieties that exist within these major racial divisions.

Given the existence of the three major racial divisions and given that all living creatures (including these races of men) are said to have evolved from lower, less developed, less intelligent types, evolution theory allows for only one explanation of the differences in the races.

That one explanation constitutes the racism inherent in the evolution theory: one of these races is more highly evolved – further removed from its ape-like ancestors – than the other two races. One race is superior – full-blown racism.

The only alternative to this conclusion would be the inconceivable idea that the different races coincidentally evolved at the same time from an equally advanced but yet different ape-man stock. Neither Darwin nor any of his followers believed that happened,

nor do any present-day evolutionists believe it happened. Such an idea is too incredulous, even for those whose religious faith is that everything & everyone is here by accident & evolved from hydrogen molecules – molecules their faith hasn't yet figured out from whence they came. Some believe, additionally, that those molecules had no beginning. And our judicial system tells us such faith does not constitute religious belief.

So the fact of the different races is attributed by evolutionists to the belief that one race is more evolved (superior) and that another race is less evolved – closer to our common ape-like ancestor (inferior). Yes, full-blown racism, though nicely hushed up in today's evolutionist textbooks and all other evolutionism throughout global academia, the media, the political arena, the seminaries, and even the Black community.

Evolution's inherent racism was adopted by Hitler. He put this hideous evil into practice by dividing the races into three classes:

1. the superior supermen. He called them the “culture creators” – the most highly evolved blond-haired, blue-eyed Aryans.

2. the “culture bearers”, which included the Slavic and Mediterranean types (and later the Japanese when they became his allies).

3. the “culture destroyers”, which included the Blacks and Hitler's other victim group, those he believed to be Jews.

Hitler's application of this evolution religion raised the idealized Nordic type to a superior position and relegated all others to inferior status.

So evolution theory arrogantly, and with unabashed discrimination, claims the authority to say who is less evolved – Blacks or Whites.

Following are some comments evolutionists have made on the subject.

Consider the view of Darwin himself. The sub-title of his infamous *Origin of Species* was *The Preservation of Favored Races in the Struggle for Life*. Darwin said: “Looking to the world at no very distant date, what an endless number of lower races will have been eliminated by the higher civilized races throughout the world.” (*Life & Letters*, letter to W. Graham, p. 316.)

Evolutionist E. A. Hooton wrote a book which he entitled *Up From the Ape*. In the book are depicted four figures in this order: orangutan, chimpanzee, gorilla, and black man. (plate 9)

Thomas Huxley, known as “Darwin's Bulldog” – a man who probably did more to get evolution theory widely accepted than any other person – said: “No rational man, cognizant of the facts, believes that the average negro is the equal, still less the superior of the white man.” (*Lay Sermons, Addresses and Reviews*, p. 20.)

In his book, *The Trend of the Race* (p. 264), evolutionist Samuel J. Holmes says:

“Pure blacks of proven natural ability of high order are in fact rare. The fact that mulattoes, despite their relatively inferior white parentage, are in all countries, superior to the blacks, is strongly indicative of a marked difference in the average intellectual capacity of the two races.”

In *The Direction of Human Evolution* (p. 53), evolutionist Edwin Conklin warns that “Every consideration should lead those who believe in the superiority of the white race to strive to preserve its purity and to establish and maintain the segregation of the races, for the longer this is maintained the greater the preponderance of the white race will be....”

Relevant again to Hitler's evolutionist racial superiority-fixation, evolutionary anthropologist Sir Arthur Keith wrote: “The German Führer...has consciously sought to make the practice of Germany conform to the theory of evolution.” (A. Keith, *Evolution and Ethics*, p. 230.)

Evolution theory is thoroughly racist. No matter how much that fact may be hidden (and ignored) in present day teaching, it is still a fact. Unproven and unprovable evolution theory does its work to prevent inter-racial respect and love of all men, one for another.

Like the true Satanic tool that it is, subtle evolution-based racism acts to keep all men from coming to a realization that genuine Bible Christianity is the only anti-racist, pro-love-and-respect doctrine there is.

Sir Arthur Keith, quoted above, objected to Christianity precisely on these grounds when he wrote: “Christianity makes no distinction of race or color; it seeks to break down all racial barriers. In this respect, the hand of Christianity is against that of Nature, for are not the races of mankind the evolutionary harvest which Nature has toiled through long ages to produce?” (*Ibid.*, p. 72)

Exposing and destroying the evolution lie will simultaneously reveal to man that the last and most deceptive form of racism (the evolution-based form) is no longer justification for a racist attitude. Destroying evolution will break down the last excuse for racial barriers that exist in the hearts of those who accept Christ & His New Testament.

Removing evolution as a subtle excuse for racism will pave the way for honest hearts to purge themselves of the last vestiges of false pride and to turn to the God of the Bible Who “made of one blood every nation of men to dwell on the face of the earth”. (*Acts* 17:26.)

Evolution is indeed a theory for racism. It needs to be recognized, as such, before it can be extricated from our midst. Christian “leaders” should be in the attack-vanguard.